Citizens now or citizens in the making? What a study of school transitions and pupil participation can tell us about children as citizens
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Introduction

- Presentation concentrates on **empirical findings** from a study of children’s/young people’s views on participation/voice/ across the primary-secondary transition

- To date, research which has investigated the extent to which policy endorsement of pupil participation is enacted in Scottish schools shows “good practice” is uneven and “patchy” (Hulme 2011)

- Present study supports above findings
Research design

• Mixed method study: self-report questionnaires (n = 740 children) and focus group (n=130 children)
• Sample included children at end of primary school (P7) and pupils in second year (S2) at secondary school
• Included representative sample of 25 schools (15 primary and 10 secondary), situated in 4 areas in Scotland
• Questionnaires: we asked about school ethos/teacher/child relations, opportunities for decision-making/responsibility at school, what activities were on offer, participation in them, thoughts about transition
• Focus groups: we asked about when/where children felt more responsible at school, the purposes of participation and what helped them take part
Research questions

• To investigate pupil’s views on participatory opportunities on either side of primary-secondary transition

• To identify to what extent children view themselves as responsible members of their school community

• To explore what is authentic and meaningful participation (i.e. voice, responsibility), from the point of view of pupils
A framework for understanding “active citizenship” in schools

- We focused on “pragmatic dimension” of active citizenship drawing on Schereen’s conceptual model which highlights students taking action and “hands on” experience.

- Described as: “informal learning embedded in school context” (Schereen, 2011: 202)

- Non-formal curriculum included school ethos, decision-making structures (i.e. pupil councils), informal leadership (i.e. peer mentoring), extra curricular clubs/activities and pupil participation.
General overview of findings

- Taking part identified as integral to experience of primary school but more complex picture emerged in terms of secondary pupils
- Clear differences found between sectors
- Social relations with teacher, peers/parents key to facilitating participation
- Responsibility for others ("younger ones") found to be significant for primary pupils while responsibility for self, highly valued by secondary pupils but responsibility for self was less likely to be carried through to learning in classrooms
School ethos and pupil engagement

• Overall, 68% of primary children and 66% of young people at secondary school agreed with the statement: *I mostly enjoy school*

• In schools with a developed participatory ethos (rated on range of measures) children/young people more likely to say that they: *mostly enjoyed school*

• Pupils (mainly boys) with “low activity scores” were much more likely to disagree with: *I mostly enjoy school*, and agree with: *I am not the kind of person that joins clubs and teams*
Pupil input into decision-making at school

- **Voting**: overall, only 42% of children/young people agreed they had a chance to do this at school

- **Pupil council/parliament**: 89% of pupils said their school had a pupil council but only 17% said that they had taken part in it

- **Influence of councils**: although 58% of pupils felt it had an impact, there were high levels of uncertainty – especially among girls

- In focus groups girls said they would not put themselves forward and appeared to self-exclude from this forum
Decision-making in the classroom

- “Teachers mainly decide what happens in class” - 85% of secondary pupils agreed with this statement, compared to 64% at primary school.

- Choosing topics to work on: secondary - 31% compared to 60% at primary; how pupils worked in class (i.e. group work) - 47% secondary pupils said they had a say while 61% of children at primary agreed they had input.

- In focus groups secondary pupils described feeling disempowered in the classroom by the use of worksheets, didactic teaching styles. Drama, technical subjects, as well as noteworthy, “special” teachers who were exceptions to this.
Leadership and responsibility at school

- **Key finding:** in terms of responsibility, primary children talked about responsibility for *others*, whereas secondary pupils discussed responsibility for *self*

- In focus groups primary children raised being a “buddy” to the youngest pupils as a significant way that they behaved responsibly at school

- Questionnaire findings show differences between sectors i.e. 48% primary children said they took part in this activity, compared to 11% of secondary pupils
Being a buddy at primary school

- Children derived considerable satisfaction and confidence from being a “buddy” to younger pupils.
- They said that they enjoyed the special bond they developed with younger pupils and the fact that the “younger ones”:

  “Listen to what you say and look up to you.” and

  “You make sure they are doing the right thing.”
Responsibility for self at secondary school

There are more subjects and clubs. I have more independence at secondary school. There is more maturity shown. (Girl, urban school)

In secondary you are intrusted with responsibility and freedom, a feeling that I have hardly ever felt during my years at primary school. (Boy, urban school)

We can go out for lunch and I love that idea because it gives me more of a choice and I like that because it gives me freedom as well. The whole aspect of switching classes, it's smart instead of the whole year learning the same thing. (Boy, non urban school)
Participation and social relations

- Now widely accepted that “participation is a relational process” (Jupp Kina 2012; Mannion et al 2015)
- Children/young people identified “special teachers” as promoting participation at school and primary pupils indicated that it would be very difficult NOT to take part at primary school with expectations of teachers
- Our findings show how relationships with juniors were vital for children’s sense of responsibility at primary school whereas young people at secondary school derived a sense of responsibility/independence from freedom of movement and association with a wider network of peers
Final comments

• **Key finding**: variable opportunities for participation across school sectors. Does this mean that:

  • Primary pupils - citizens now and S2 secondary pupils citizens in the making?
  
  • Opportunities for taking part in primary school are superseded by new and more exciting experiences (e.g. peer/teacher association, freedom of movement) at secondary where pupils say they have more independence, autonomy and choice

