



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Disability, Gender and Social Class in Education: Making the Connections



Professor Sheila Riddell

**Centre for Research in Education Inclusion & Diversity
(CREID)**

University of Edinburgh

www.creid.ed.ac.uk

**Presentation to the Symposium on Gender, Disability and Special
Education, Umeå University, 30th November 2017**



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity



Structure of the Presentation

- Current debates in social science on social structures & identity formation.
- Growing acceptance of the idea of reflexive self-constitution and the need for an understanding of intersectionality.
- For many people, identity is both hybrid and shifting.
- Administrative data are used to provide an understanding of the relationship between key variables, including gender, social class and disability in school and higher education.
- Pupil and student case studies provide insight into the way in which complex identity plays out on people's lived experiences.



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Disability, social class and gender – the formation of hybrid identity



Theorists of ‘late modernity’ suggest that individuals create their own social identity. Defining aspects of identity may change over time.

Increasingly everyone has to choose between different options, including as to which group or subculture one wants to be identified with. In fact one has to choose and change one’s social identity as well and take the risks in doing so.

(Beck 1992:88)



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Disability has been described as
'the ultimate post-modern category'



Considering the range of impairments under the disability umbrella; considering the different ways in which they impact on individuals and groups over a lifetime; considering the intersection of disability with other axes of inequality; and considering the challenges which impairment issues to the notion of embodiment, we believe it could be argued that disability is the ultimate post-modern concept.

(Corker & Shakespeare, 2002: 15)



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity



Counter-arguments

Social class continues to be major determinant of individual life-chances. Individuals have only limited room for manoeuvre and negotiation.

The individualism which is assumed in a great deal of theorising on subjectivity is the product of, and in the interests of, privileged groups in very specific national and historical circumstances... Concepts of individualism legitimate powerful groups and render other groups unworthy of the designation 'individual'.

(Skeggs, 1997: 163)



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity




What can we learn from official statistics about the relationship between gender, social class and additional support needs/disability?



Gender, additional support needs and school education




ASN pupils in Scotland by gender and ASN designation, 2016





ASN by type of need and gender, Scotland 2016: Rate per 1,000 school population






Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity




Total ASN pupils by SIMD quintiles






Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Number of pupils by type of plan and SIMD quintile





Percentage of ASN pupils with a CSP per SIMD quintile





Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity



Key messages

Overall, twice as many boys as girls are identified as having ASN.

ASN is more than twice as likely to be identified in pupils living in the most deprived neighbourhoods.

Disproportionalities relating to gender and social deprivation are greater in high-incidence, non-normative categories (e.g. learning difficulties, social, emotional and behavioural difficulties), as opposed to low incidence, normative categories (e.g. visual and sensory impairments).

Statutory plans (CSPs) are more than twice as likely to be opened for pupils with ASN living in the least deprived neighbourhoods compared with pupils living in the most deprived neighbourhoods.



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity


Higher Education



- Expansion in proportion of disabled students in total student population – from 2% of total in 1995 to 9% of total in 2017
- Strong links between gender, disability and social class
- Dyslexia biggest & fastest growing category (accounts for more than half of all disabled students)
- Middle class men predominate in this group




Type of impairment as percentage of all disabled students, Sweden and UK





First year, full-time, UK domiciled undergraduates (Scotland and England only) by gender and disability (N=266,494)

Men predominate in dyslexia category – by far the largest. Different patterns of participation by gender in HE compared with schools





Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Also strong links between disability and social class – but contrasting patterns between school and university



Disabled students are more likely to come from professional/managerial backgrounds than non-disabled students (opposite in schools)

Type of impairment also matters- significant majority (60%) of disabled students have diagnosis of dyslexia – and are predominantly male and middle class




Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Disabled and non-disabled students by SIMD quintiles (HESA, 2015)




■ Non-disabled ■ Disabled





Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Disabled students by type of impairment and SIMD quintiles, HESA, 2015 – caution low numbers in some categories





Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Qualitative data



- Different impairments lead to different educational experiences and different outcomes
- Differences in gender social class background also play an important role
- Social advantage does not always outweigh negative impact of disability



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

School students and the inter-section of disability, gender and social class



Leah is a full-time mum and a BSL user. She was diagnosed with hearing loss when she was a toddler. She lives in a peripheral estate in an urban area of high social deprivation with her partner and 18 month old baby daughter, who are also deaf. Her parents and siblings are hearing and she communicates with them orally, although she is most comfortable using BSL.

Leah spent most of her school career in schools for the deaf since her mum believed that a mainstream school would not be suitable for her. However, she was unhappy with the teachers' low expectations, became frustrated and disengaged. After two years at the secondary school for the deaf, Leah moved to a mainstream high school with a resource base. There she had full-time support from teachers of the deaf who also acted as interpreters and was happy to be given more challenging work.

In her fourth year, Leah made a sudden decision to leave school without seeking advice and enrolled at a local college where she completed a vocational course in Beauty Therapy. She described the course as enjoyable, although it had been chosen 'at random'. With hindsight, she thinks she would have benefited from more career guidance before she left school.

At the time of her interview, both Leah and her partner were unemployed and were having great difficulty finding work.



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

School students and the intersection of disability, gender and social class continued



Mrs McHarris was 42 years old and a single parent with one child, **Rory**. At primary school, he was identified as having obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), dyspraxia and dyslexia. The family lived in a private rented ex-council property. At the time of the research she was self-employed and working part time, 'taking in ironing'. She explained that she needed to be at home and part time because Rory often missed school or was sent home because of 'bad behaviour'.

Problems began when Rory was in Primary 1, with the school denying there was a problem and describing Rory as 'lazy'. As a result of a private assessment, obsessive compulsive disorder and dyslexia were diagnosed. An assessment by an occupational therapist also suggested that he had dyspraxia. Mrs McHarris described Rory's growing distress at school, manifesting itself in compulsive hand washing and self-harming. The school refused to provide additional support, and the mother's relationship with teachers deteriorated.

Rory's secondary school placement appeared to be more successful, with additional school-based support in place. However, Mrs McHarris continued to mistrust educational professionals, expressing frustration with their 'we know best' approach, which she felt disguised a rather callous attitude.



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Different HE students – different needs – different outcomes. Privileged background does not always counteract the negative impact of disability- and vice versa



Teresa:

Mature student of Biological Sciences from a privileged private school background. Diagnosis of epilepsy. Despite enjoying her studies, her epilepsy caused problems and she fell behind. She did not have a close network of friends and did not 'want to bother her family'.

Outcome: non completion

Andrew:

Studying Education at university, had cerebral palsy and came from a disadvantaged background. Studied at college to gain entry to university, had a break in study and struggled at one point. He had a close network of friends at the university and his mother and aunt supported him. Outcome: completion of honours degree and work

Staff were supportive in both of these cases



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

The impact of social background on the educational experiences of deaf students



*‘the social networks and advocacy power of their parents were closely related to their **socio-economic status**. They played a significant role in shaping the young people’s experiences of school education, as well as their post-school journeys’*

(Fordyce, et al, 2013, p.113)



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Issues and challenges



1. Disabled school and HE students are not a homogeneous group – they:
 - Have different impairments which lead to different needs
 - Come from different social backgrounds
 - Have different gender identities
2. Disabled school and HE students from socially deprived backgrounds are multiply disadvantaged because:
 - They do not necessarily have access to social networks that can help them – though this is not always the case
 - There is a strong intersection between disability, social class and gender - but different gender/class patterns emerge in school and university



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity

Issues and challenges continued



3. We need much more inter-sectional analysis - Indicators that only focus on one characteristic may leave out other factors that are important in ensuring equal access, relevant support and fair outcomes for all disabled school and HE students
4. Quantitative data are useful in showing general trends but we also need qualitative data to understand the experiences of disabled students and the differences between different groups in relation to type of impairment, gender and social class



Centre for Research in
Education Inclusion
and Diversity



Conclusions

- Too simplistic to argue *either* that individuals choose their identity and biography, *or* that these are determined by overarching social structures.
- Analysis of quantitative administrative data is useful to understand relationship between variables – provides a snapshot of the ‘big picture’
- Qualitative work essential to understand how individuals negotiate and shift identity over time.